

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,045	07/29/2003	Rene Jean Zimmer	DN2003111	9278
7590 06/16/2004			EXAMINER	
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company			CHOI, LING SIU	
Patent & Trademark Department - D/823			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Akron, OH 44316-0001			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~~ ·	<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,045	ZIMMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ling-Siu Choi	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe nunication. 10) days, a reply within the statutory minicatutory period will apply and will expire S y will, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered time BX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .		
•	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	ıl.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the pract			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the	application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		ation.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirer	nent.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form P	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	ved.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	ived in Application No	
Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents ha	ve been received in this Nationa	al Stage
application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified co	pies not received.	
Attachment(s)	" ГП	Intention Cump on (DTO 140)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	PTO/SB/08) 5) 📙	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PI	ГО-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗀	Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/631,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are pending, wherein claims 1-10 are drawn to a rubber composition, a sulfur-vulcanized rubber composition, an article, or a tire; claims 11-16 are drawn to a method of process a rubber composition.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: (a) claim 1, line 1; claim 11, lines 1-2, claim 13, lines 1-2, "a filler comprising" is suggested to be changed to --a filler, comprising--; (b) claim 4, line 1, "characterized in that" is suggested to be changed to -- wherein--; and (c) claims 8-10 are muliple dependent claims which depend on another multiple dependent claim 7. Thus, claims 8-10 are objected because of the unclear boundary set by these claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,045

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (CN 1368518) in view of Guo et al. (CN 1398923).

The present invention relates to a rubber composition comprising

(a)	100 wt parts of at least one rubber containing olefinic unsaturation
(b)	1-25 phr of a filler
(c)	0.05-5.0 phr of zinc oxide particles having a diameter of less than 20 namometers

(summary of claim 1)

Wang et al. disclose a rubber composition for the rubber pad under rail, comprising 100 part by weight, 1-5 parts by weight of promoter, 1-3 parts by weight of antiageing agent, 2-10 parts by weight of nanometer zinc oxide powder, 0.2-1.5 parts by weight of sulfurizing agent, 30-60 parts by weight of carbon black, 30-60 parts by weight of an inorganic filler, 0.5-10 parts by weight of tetra-acicular zinc oxide eshisker, and 0.2-5 parts by weight of coupling agent, wherein the rubber composition consists of natural rubber, styrene-butadiene rubber, and cis-1,4-polybutadiene rubber; nanometer zinc oxide has average diameter of 20-40 μm (abstract; page 2, line 15-16; page 3-Table 1).

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Wang et al. is the requirement of zinc oxide particle having a diameter of less than 20 nanometers.

It is noted that the diameter of nanometer zinc oxide disclosed by Wang et al. is an average value. In view of the process to prepare the nanometer zinc oxide, wherein the nanometer zinc oxide is obtained by precipitating zinc nitrate by urea in water at 70-100°C and then dry the resulting precursor of zinc oxide for 1-3 hours at 200-400°C (page 2, lines 13-15),

the nanometer zinc oxide would contain some amount of zinc oxide having a diameter falling into the claimed range.

Page 4

Furthermore, Guo et al. disclose a method to prepare a modified nanometer and subnanometer superfine zinc oxide powder (abstract). Guo et al. further disclose that when the diameter of zinc oxide less than 100 nm, zinc oxide would have a specific chemical and physical properties, which is attributed to the quantum effect of size (page 7, lines 10-12). In addition, the case law held that "a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties." *Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner*, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985). In light of the benefits to have the diameter less than 100 nm, the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to reduce the diameter of the nanometer zinc oxide disclosed by Wang et al. to the claimed range.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reduce the diameter of zinc oxide in the disclosure of Wang et al. to the claimed range by the routine optimization and thereby obtain the present invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

4 c chi

Ling -Siu Choi

June 10, 2004

Page 5